

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,936	02/14/2001	Vivian E. Mack Strong	19603/4071(CRF-D-2598A)	1665	
7:	590 09/11/2003				
Michael L. Goldman, Esq.			EXAMINER		
NIXON PEABODY LLP Clinton Square			ROSE, SHEP K		
P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, NY 14603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	1.000		1614	12	
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





· 7

Application No. 09/782936

Applicant(s)

	01/102118	<u> </u>			
Office Action Summary	Examiner Stopp	ROSE	Group Art Unit	,	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet L	peneath the co	orrespondence a	ddress	
Peri d for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAI	LING DATÉ	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a least NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state 	reply within the statutory minin t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) m the mailing dat	days will be consider	red timely. ion .	
Status					
☑¹ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11/03			•	
☐ This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	ot for formal matters, pros 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	secution as to 3.	the merits is clo	sed in	
Disposition of Claims					
, <i>t</i>		is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)	is/are	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)		is/are	is/are allowed.		
		is/are rejected.			
□ Claim(s)		is/are	rejected.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are			
		is/are	objected to. bject to restriction	or election	
Claim(s)		is/are	objected to. bject to restriction	or election	
□ Claim(s) / /5 ~ 7627 ☑ Claim(s) / /5 ~ 7627		is/are	objected to. bject to restriction	or election	
☐ Claim(s) / /5 ~ 7627 Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ng Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are are su require	objected to. bject to restriction ement.	or election	
□ Claim(s) / /5 ~7627 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.	ng Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are are su require	objected to. bject to restriction ement.	or election	
□ Claim(s) / /5 ~7627 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner.	ng Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are are su require	objected to. bject to restriction ement.	or election	
□ Claim(s) / /5 ~7627 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.	ng Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are are su require	objected to. bject to restriction ement.	or election	
□ Claim(s) / /5 ~7627 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ng Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are are su require	objected to. bject to restriction ement.	or election	
□ Claim(s) / /5 ~7627 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ng Review, PTO-948 is	is/are disapprove	objected to. bject to restriction ement.	or election	
□ Claim(s) / /5 ~7627 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the examiner.	ng Review, PTO-948 is approved cted to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents her)	is/are disapprove	objected to. bject to restriction ement. d.	or election	
□ Claim(s) / /5 ~7627 Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the certification. □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers)	ng Review, PTO-948 is approved cted to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents her)ternational Bureau (PCT	is/are are su require disapprove disapprove Rule 1 7.2(a)).	objected to. bject to restriction ement. d.	or election	
□ Claim(s)	ng Review, PTO-948 is approved cted to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents her)ternational Bureau (PCT	is/are are su require disapprove disapprove Rule 1 7.2(a)).	objected to. bject to restriction ement. d.	or election	
□ Claim(s)	ng Review, PTO-948 is approved cted to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents her)ternational Bureau (PCT	is/are disapprove disapprove disapprove Rule 1 7.2(a)).	objected to. bject to restriction ement. d.	or election	
□ Claim(s) / /5 ~ ~ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ng Review, PTO-948 is approved cted to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents her)ternational Bureau (PCT	is/are are su require disapprove disapprove Rule 1 7.2(a)).	objected to. bject to restriction ement. d.		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,936

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 19, 15, 24 to 27 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising one ultimate species of each of :

- (a) A cox-2-inhibitor species of claim 15; and one of (B) to (G) (below)
- (b) a patient species <u>treated for</u> one of : trauma, burn injury, penetrating injury, fracture or surgery, <u>or else</u>
- (c) a patient prophylaxes method at risk for systemic inflammatory response syndrome, or else:
- (d) a patient treatment method of patient having systemic inflammatory response syndrome;
- (e) or a species of complication thereof of (C);
- (f) or a species of complication thereof of (D) or else:
- (g) (if further elected) treating sepsis (as in the title of this case)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species, of (A) and one of (B) to (G), even though this requirement is traversed. If this application is filed under Rule 371, the legal authority is PCT Rule 13.2, Annex B, part 1 (f) "Markush Practice"; PCT Rule 13 and 35 U.S.C. § 372, rather than 35 U.S.C. § 121.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 09/782,936

Art Unit: 1617

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) of the other invention.

Election of species is required per MPEP § 803, 809.02 (d) (Markush group claim practice, separate and burdensome fields of search required).

Patentably distinct Markush species are independent inventions, <u>In re Webber</u>, 198 USPQ 328, <u>In re Haas</u>, 198 USPQ 334. Divisional applications may be filed under 35 U.S.C. § 121, as a result of an office requirement for an election of a patentably distinct species as made herein. <u>In re Joyce</u>, 115 USPQ 412, <u>In re Herrick</u>, 115 USPQ 412. This satisfies the "patentably distinct" criterion since the Examiner is not of the opinion that the various species are obviously unpatentable over one another, and each species (as noted above) is capable of independent manufacture, use, and sale, with the other components of the claimed formulations.

Applicant is further required to identify the claims that correspond to the elections as well as those that do not even if the requirements are traversed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shep Rose whose telephone number is (703) 308-4609. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday 7:30am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Rose/tgd September 9, 2003

They Ru

Shep Rose Senior Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

> SHEP K. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER